

United States
Circuit Court of Appeals
For the Ninth Circuit. 10

WONG FOOK JUNG,

Appellant,

vs.

LUTHER WEEDIN, as Commissioner of Immigration at the Port of Seattle, Washington,
Appellee.

Transcript of Record.

Upon Appeal from the United States District Court for
the Western District of Washington, Northern Division.

FILED

AUG 37 1926

F. D. MONCKTON,
CLERK

United States
Circuit Court of Appeals

For the Ninth Circuit.

WONG FOOK JUNG,

Appellant,

vs.

LUTHER WEEDIN, as Commissioner of Immi-
gration at the Port of Seattle, Washington,
Appellee.

Transcript of Record.

Upon Appeal from the United States District Court for
the Western District of Washington, Northern Division.

INDEX TO THE PRINTED TRANSCRIPT OF RECORD.

[Clerk's Note: When deemed likely to be of an important nature, errors or doubtful matters appearing in the original certified record are printed literally in italic; and, likewise, cancelled matter appearing in the original certified record is printed and cancelled herein accordingly. When possible, an omission from the text is indicated by printing in italic the two words between which the omission seems to occur.]

	Page
Affidavit of Wong Tee Doy.....	7
Affidavit of Moy Back Hin.....	5
Assignment of Errors.....	16
Bond	18
Certificate of Clerk U. S. District Court to Transcript of Record.....	22
Citation	24
Decision	12
Names and Addresses of Counsel.....	1
Notice of Appeal	15
Order Allowing Appeal and Fixing Bail.....	17
Order Denying Writ.....	13
Order for Transmission of Original Record of Department of Labor.....	21
Order to Show Cause	8
Petition for Appeal.....	15
Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus.....	1
Praecipe of Appellant for Transcript of Rec- ord on Appeal.....	21
Return to Order to Show Cause.....	10
Stipulation Re Transmission of Original Immi- gration Record and Files of Department of Labor	20

NAMES AND ADDRESSES OF COUNSEL.

HUGH C. TODD, Esquire,
Attorney for Appellant, 323 Lyon Building,
Seattle, Washington.

THOMAS P. REVELLE, Esquire,
Attorney for Appellee, 310 Federal Building,
Seattle, Washington.

C. T. MCKINNEY, Esquire,
Attorney for Appellee, 315 Federal Building,
Seattle, Washington. [1*]

In the District Court of the United States for the
Western District of Washington, Northern Division.

No. 10,326.

In the Matter of the Application of WONG FOOK
JUNG for a Writ of Habeas Corpus.

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS.

Comes now Wong Tee Doy, and petitions this Court to issue a writ of habeas corpus to inquire into the cause of the detention of Wong Fook Jung by the Honorable Luther Weedon, Commissioner of Immigration, at Seattle, Washington, and shows to the Court as follows:

I.

That your petitioner, Wong Tee Doy, is a citizen of the United States of Chinese descent, born in

*Page-number appearing at the foot of page of original certified Transcript of Record.

San Francisco, California, aged 58 years; and that Wong Gar Yick, aged 62 years, was a brother of your petitioner, he being a citizen of the United States by virtue of being born in San Francisco, California; that the above-named Wong Fook Jung was born in the year 1885 at 311 Alder Street, Portland, Oregon, and is the son of my brother, the said Wong Gar Yick, now deceased; that the said Wong Fook Jung, my nephew, applied for admission to the United States as a citizen thereof; that he is now detained at the United States Immigration Station at Seattle, Washington, by the said Luther Weedon, Commissioner of Immigration, in the proceedings from his application to be admitted to the United States, as a citizen of the United States. [2]

II.

That the said Wong Fook Jung is imprisoned and restrained of his liberty by the said Commissioner of Immigration at said Immigration Station; that he has not been committed and is not detained by virtue of any judgment, decree, final order or process issued by a court or Judge of the United States, in a case where such courts or Judges have exclusive jurisdiction under the laws of the United States, or have acquired exclusive jurisdiction by commencement of legal proceedings in such a court, nor is he detained by virtue of the final judgment or decree of a court of competent tribunal of civil or criminal jurisdiction or the final order of such a tribunal made in the special proceedings instituted for any cause except to punish him for con-

tempt; or by virtue of an execution or other process issued upon such a judgment, decree or final order; or by virtue of a warrant issued from any court upon an indictment or information.

III.

That the cause or pretense of the imprisonment and restraint of the said Wong Fook Jung, according to the best knowledge and belief of your petitioner, is that the said Commissioner ruled that the said Wong Fook Jung was an alien person, not a member of any of the exempt classes of Chinese entitled to come into or remain in the United States, and accordingly refused him admission, from which finding an appeal was taken to the Secretary of Labor, which said appeal was thereafter dismissed by the Secretary of Labor. [3]

IV.

That the facts developed in the hearings in said applicant's case in regard to the sole issue therein, the United States citizenship of the said Wong Fook Jung, proved conclusively by competent evidence that he is a native-born citizen of the United States and that there is no evidence to the contrary; that the decision of the above-named immigration officials denying him admission to the United States is based upon suspicion and conjecture and not upon any evidence, and therefore said applicant has not been accorded a fair hearing.

V.

That the said Wong Fook Jung is being restrained of his liberty without due process of law,

in violation of the provisions of the Constitution of the United States and the laws governing such cases made and provided; that he is wrongfully, illegally and arbitrarily restrained of his liberty, and that said immigration officials are about to deport him, and that unless restrained by this Court will deport him forthwith.

WHEREFORE, your petitioner prays that a writ of habeas corpus may issue directed to Hon. Luther Weedin, Commissioner of Immigration at Seattle, Washington, commanding him to have the body of the said Wong Fook Jung before the undersigned Judge of the United States District Court, Western District of Washington, Northern Division, at the Federal Building at Seattle, Washington, and at such time as in said writ may be named, to do and receive what shall then and there be considered concerning the said Wong Fook Jung, together with the time and cause of his detention; and [4]

FURTHER that an order to show cause be issued by said Court ordering the said Hon. Luther Weedin, Commissioner of Immigration, at Seattle, Washington, to appear and show cause in said court on the 15th day of February, 1926, at two o'clock P. M., why said writ should not issue and to do and receive what shall then be considered concerning the said Wong Fook Jung, together with the time and place of his detention.

WONG TEE DOY,
Petitioner.

State of Washington,
County of King,—ss.

Wong Tee Doy, being first duly sworn, on oath deposes and says, that he is the brother of the father of the applicant herein, and is the nearest relative now residing in the United States; that he has read the foregoing petition, knows the contents thereof, and knows that the same is true.

WONG TEE DOY,
Petitioner.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 27th day of January, 1926.

[Seal] ANNE C. MARTIN,
Notary Public in and for the State of Washington,
Residing at Seattle.

[Endorsed]: Filed Jan. 29, 1926. [5]

No. 10,326.

In Re WONG FOOK JUNG.

State of Oregon,
County of Multnomah,—ss.

AFFIDAVIT OF MOY BACK HIN.

I, Moy Back Hin, being first duly sworn, depose and say:

That I am a resident and inhabitant of the City of Portland, Oregon, and have been for over fifty years last past;

That I am now and have been for many years last

past, Chinese Consul, residing in Portland, Multnomah County, Oregon;

That I was formerly acquainted with Wong Guy Yick, and that in about the year of 1887, Wong Guy Yick, with his wife and two children departed from Portland for China, the children were both boys and about the ages of two and three years. Wong Guy Yick has been a prominent business man here and prior to his leaving for China, took more or less interest in things pertaining to the Chinese people, and is one of the reasons for my distinctly remembering his leaving Portland for China, and that I also remember that I had certain business matters to adjust with Wong Guy Yick just prior to his departure.

I am making this affidavit for the purpose of establishing the status of his son Wong Fook Jung, who is applying for admission to the United States and I believe that he is entitled to such admission as the son of Wong Guy Yick.

MOY BACK HIN.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 9th day of February, 1926.

[Seal]

L. H. TARPLEY,

Notary Public for Oregon.

My commission expires December 19, 1927.

[Endorsed]: Filed Feb. 15, 1926. [6]

No. 10,326.

State of Oregon,
County of Multnomah,—ss.

AFFIDAVIT OF WONG TEE DOY.

I, Wong Tee Doy, being first duly sworn, depose and say:

That I am a native of the United States having been born in San Francisco, California, in the year of 1867, and I have resided in the United States all my life except temporary visits to China.

That I went to China and returned to the United States in the year of 1918 and in my examination on my return, if I made the statement that my brother Wong Guy Yick did not have any family, it was a misinterpretation of my evidence and if I said anything of that kind, I meant that my brother at that time did not have any family in the United States because I have always testified and stated, which is a fact, that my brother Wong Guy Yick had a family consisting of a wife and two sons and I also made an affidavit to that effect on the 29th day of March, 1904, before L. H. Tarpley, at Portland, Oregon, that I had a brother in China, Wong Guy Yick, who had two sons, one of them having been born about the year 1884 and the other the year 1885, and that my three sons, my wife and my brother and his wife and two sons, went to China in the year 1888 and that Wong Fook Jung was one of the sons of Wong Guy Yick that went to China with his brother at that time.

WONG TEE DOY.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 16 day of February, 1926.

[Seal]

L. H. TARPLEY,

Notary Public for Oregon.

My commission expires Dec. 19, 1927.

[Endorsed]: Filed Feb. 15, 1926. [61½]

[Title of Court and Cause.]

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE.

On the petition of Wong Tee Doy, duly signed and verified, whereby it appears that the above-named applicant is wrongfully and illegally imprisoned and restrained of his liberty by Hon. Luther Weedin, Commissioner of Immigration at Seattle, Washington, and stating wherein the illegality exists, from which it appears that a writ of habeas corpus ought to issue;

NOW, THEREFORE, it is by this Court

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the said Luther Weedin be required to appear before me in the courtroom of said court on the 15th day of February, 1926, at two o'clock P. M. of said day, to show cause, if any he have, why the writ of habeas corpus should not be issued as prayed for in the petition on file herein, together with the time and cause of the detention of said applicant; and it is hereby

FURTHER ORDERED that the said Commissioner of Immigration shall retain custody of said applicant until the further order of this Court,

and the petitioner herein be required to pay the maintenance of said applicant while so detained in the Immigration Station.

Dated this 29th day of January, 1926.

EDWARD E. CUSHMAN,
District Judge.

[Endorsed]: Filed Jan. 29, 1926. [7]

RETURN ON SERVICE OF WRIT.

United States of America,
Western District of Wash.,—ss.

I hereby certify and return that I served the annexed order to show cause on the therein named Luther Weedin, Commissioner of Immigration—

by handing to and leaving a true and correct copy thereof with him _____ personally, at Seattle, in said District, on the 29th day of Jan., A. D. 1926.

E. B. BENN,
U. S. Marshal.
By E. E. Gaskill,
Deputy.

[Endorsed]: Filed Jan. 29, 1926. [8]

[Title of Court and Cause.]

RETURN TO ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE.

To the Honorable EDWARD E. CUSHMAN,
Judge of the District Court of the United
States for the Western District of Washington:

Comes now the respondent, Luther Weedin, United States Commissioner of Immigration at Seattle, Washington, and, for answer and return to the order to show cause entered herein, certifies that the said Wong Fook Jung is detained by respondent for exclusion and deportation from the United States as an alien person not entitled to admission to the United States under the laws of the United States; that said Wong Fook Jung was detained by this respondent at the time he arrived at the port of Seattle, Washington, September 26, 1925, as an alien Chinese person not entitled to admission under the laws of the United States, pending a decision on his application for admission as a native-born citizen of the United States; that, at a hearing before a Board of Special Inquiry at the Seattle Immigration Office, the said Wong Fook Jung was unable to furnish satisfactory proof that he was born in the United States, and his application for admission to the United States was denied for that reason; that the said Wong Fook Jung appealed from the decision of the Board of Special Inquiry to the Secretary of Labor; that, since the final decision of the Secretary of Labor, respondent has held, and now holds and detains, the said Wong

Fook Jung for deportation from the United States as an alien person not entitled to admission to the United States under the laws of the United States, and subject to deportation under the laws of the United States. [9]

The original record of the Department of Labor, both on the hearing before the Board of Special Inquiry at Seattle, Washington, and on the submission of the record on the appeal to the Secretary of Labor at Washington, D. C., in the matter of the application of Wong Fook Jung for admission to the United States, is hereto attached and made a part and parcel of this return, as fully and completely as though set forth herein in detail.

WHEREFORE, respondent prays that the petition for a writ of habeas corpus be DENIED.

LUTHER WEEDIN.

United States of America,
Western District of Washington,
Northern Division,—ss.

Luther Weedin, being first duly sworn, on oath deposes and says: That he is United States Commissioner of Immigration at Seattle, Washington, and the respondent named in the foregoing return; that he has read the foregoing return, knows the contents thereof and believes the same to be true.

LUTHER WEEDIN.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 10th day of February, 1926.

[Seal] D. L. YOUNG,
Notary Public in and for the State of Washington,
Residing at Seattle, Washington.

[Endorsed]: Filed Feb. 13, 1926. [10]

[Title of Court and Cause.]

DECISION.

The Immigration authorities denied entrance into this country, to petitioner, a Chinese from China, and he seeks to avoid their decision by habeas corpus.

Now as then, he claims to be a citizen of this country, upon claim of birth herein during his parents' domicile here. Upon the evidence the Immigration authorities found his claim to nativity here not proven. And petitioner's only contention is that the decision is without support in the evidence. Without adverting to familiar rules, it suffices to say that the Immigration authorities' refusal to credit the testimony of petitioner and his two material or vital witness-relatives, was exercise of their judgment and of their exclusive function with which the courts in habeas corpus cannot interfere.

They found that the present statements on oath of the alleged relatives that petitioner was the son of Wong Gar and born in 1885, were opposed by their like statements in 1918, that Wong Gar died

without family. And petitioner's credibility is impeached by interest.

The Immigration officers saw and heard the witnesses, and even as any triers of facts in such circumstances, their refusal to credit the testimony is final. No Court can insist they shall believe where their reason refuses to believe. As matter of fact, were this Court free to determine the facts for itself, the very best it could say for petitioner is that he has not sustained the burden of proof of his right to enter this country. This hearing was upon the record before the Immigration authorities, and if new evidence could be received here, it cannot be in form of *ex parte* affidavits. [11]

Incidentally, these efforts to secure entry of endless chains of Chinese sons,—of sons of sons *ad infinitum* (petitioner has four born and yet in China) might receive a salutary check by perjury proceedings, to which said relatives at least seem to have laid themselves fairly open.

Petition denied.

Feb. 19, 1926.

BOURQUIN, J.

[Endorsed]: Filed Feb. 19, 1926. [12]

[Title of Court and Cause.]

ORDER DENYING WRIT.

BE IT REMEMBERED, that this matter came on duly and regularly for hearing heretofore on February 17, 1926, before the undersigned Judge

of the above-entitled court, and the petitioner being represented by Hugh C. Todd, Esquire, his attorney, and respondent Luther Weedon, as Commissioner of Immigration, being represented by Thos. P. Revelle, United States Attorney, and Arthur E. Simon, Assistant United States Attorney, and the Court being advised; now therefore, it is by the Court hereby

ORDERED that the rule to show cause heretofore entered herein be, and the same is hereby discharged, and that the application of Wong Fook Jung for a writ of habeas corpus be, and the same is hereby denied, and that the said Wong Fook Jung, petitioner herein, be, and he hereby is remanded to the custody of the Commissioner of Immigration.

Done in open court this 20th day of February, 1926.

BOURQUIN,
United States District Judge.

O. K. as to form.

HUGH C. TODD.

[Endorsed]: Filed Feb. 26, 1926. [13]

[Title of Court and Cause.]

NOTICE OF APPEAL.

To Luther Weedin, United States Commissioner of Immigration for the Port of Seattle, and to Thos. S. Revelle and Arthur E. Simon, His Attorneys:

YOU, AND EACH OF YOU, are hereby notified that Wong Fook Jung, appellant above named, hereby and now appeals from that certain order, judgment and decree made herein by the above-entitled court on the 20th day of February, 1926, adjudging, holding, finding and decreeing that the above-named petitioner be denied a writ of habeas corpus, and the whole thereof, to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

HUGH C. TODD,

Attorney for Appellant.

Service accepted 2/26/26.

ARTHUR E. SIMON,

Asst. U. S. Atty.

[Endorsed]: Filed Feb. 1926. [14]

Title of Court and Cause.]

PETITION FOR APPEAL.

Wong Fook Jung, the appellant above named, deeming himself aggrieved by the order and judgment entered herein on the 20th day of February,

1926, does hereby appeal from the said order and judgment to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, and prays that a transcript and record of proceedings and papers upon which said order and judgment is made, fully authenticated, may be sent to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Ninth Judicial Circuit of the United States.

HUGH C. TODD,

Attorney for Applicant.

Service accepted 2/26/26.

ARTHUR E. SIMON,

Asst. U. S. Atty.

[Endorsed]: Filed Feb. 26, 1926. [15]

[Title of Court and Cause.]

ASSIGNMENT OF ERRORS.

I.

The Court erred in holding and deciding that a writ of habeas corpus should be denied the appellant herein.

II.

The Court erred in holding and deciding that the appellant herein had not proved that he was a citizen of the United States, entitled to be admitted to the United States as a citizen thereof.

III.

The Court erred in holding and deciding that the appellant herein should not be discharged from the

custody of the Commissioner of Immigration at Seattle, Washington.

HUGH C. TODD,

Attorney for Appellant.

Service accepted 2/26/26.

ARTHUR E. SIMON,

Asst. U. S. Atty.

[Endorsed]: Filed Feb. 26, 1926. [16]

[Title of Court and Cause.]

ORDER ALLOWING APPEAL AND FIXING
BAIL.

Now, to wit, on this 26th day of February, 1926, it is ordered that the appeal be allowed as prayed for; upon executing a recognizance or bond to the United States of America, to be approved by the Court in the sum of Five Hundred Dollars (\$500.00), for the appearance of said appellant to answer the judgment of the Circuit Court of Appeals and the further orders of this Court, and to pay all costs and expenses of his maintenance in *mesne* time.

Done in open court this 26th day of February, 1926.

BOURQUIN,

United States District Judge.

Service accepted 2/20/1926.

ARTHUR E. SIMON,
Asst. U. S. Atty.

[Endorsed]: Filed Feb. 26, 1926. [17]

UNITED STATES FIDELITY AND GUAR-
ANTY COMPANY.

Baltimore, Maryland.

No. —.

\$500.00.

[Title of Court and Cause.]

BOND.

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS:
That we, Wong Fook Jung, as principal, and the United States Fidelity & Guaranty Company, a corporation, of Baltimore, Maryland, as surety, are held and firmly bound unto the United States of America, in the full and just sum of Five Hundred and no/100 Dollars (\$500.00), lawful money of the United States, for the payment of which sum, well and truly to be made, we hereby bind ourselves, our and each of our heirs, executors and administrators, successors and assigns, jointly and severally, firmly by these presents.

Sealed with our seals and dated this 9th day of March, A. D. 1926.

WHEREAS, on the 20th day of February, A. D. 1926, the United States District Judge entered an order herein allowing an appeal, provided the applicant filed a bond in the sum of Five Hundred

Dollars (\$500.00) for his appearance to answer the judgment of the Circuit Court of Appeals, and the further orders of the District Court, and to pay all costs and expenses of his maintenance in the meantime.

NOW, THEREFORE, the condition of this obligation is such that if the above bounden principal, Wong Fook Jung, shall abide all orders of said District and Circuit Courts, and shall pay all costs and expenses of his maintenance, then this obligation shall be void; otherwise it shall remain in full force and effect.

(Signature in Chinese.)

his

WONG X FOOK JUNG,

mark

Principal.

UNITED STATES FIDELITY & GUAR-
ANTY COMPANY.

[Seal]

By C. H. CAMPBELL,

Attorney-in-fact.

Witness:

HUGH C. TODD.

O. K. as to form and amount.

ARTHUR E. SIMON.

Ass't U. S. Atty.

Approved.

BOURQUIN,

U. S. District Judge. [18]

[Title of Court and Cause.]

STIPULATION RE TRANSMISSION OF
ORIGINAL IMMIGRATION RECORD AND
FILES OF DEPARTMENT OF LABOR.

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between Hugh C. Todd, Esquire, attorney for petitioner above named, and Thos. P. Revelle, Esquire, and C. T. McKinney, Esquire, attorneys for respondent, Luther Weedon, United States Commissioner of Immigration, that the original file and record of the Department of Labor covering the proceedings against the petitioner above named, which was filed with the respondent's return to the order to show cause in the above-entitled cause, may be by the Clerk of this court sent up to the Clerk of the Circuit Court of Appeals, as part of the appellate record, in order that the said original immigration file may be considered by the Circuit Court of Appeals, in lieu of a certified copy of said record and file, and that said original records may be transmitted as part of the appellate record.

HUGH C. TODD,

Attorney for Petitioner.

THOS. P. REVELLE,

United States Attorney.

C. T. MCKINNEY,

Assistant United States Attorney.

[Endorsed]: Filed Apr. 6, 1926. [19]

[Title of Court and Cause.]

ORDER FOR TRANSMISSION OF ORIGINAL
RECORD OF DEPARTMENT OF LABOR.

Upon stipulation of counsel, it is by the Court ORDERED, and the Court does hereby ORDER, that the Clerk of the above-entitled court transmit with the appellate record in said cause the original file and record of the Department of Labor, covering the deportation proceedings against the petitioner, Wong Fook Jung, which was filed with the respondent's return in the above-entitled cause, directly to the Clerk of the Circuit Court of Appeals, in order that the said original immigration file may be considered by the Circuit Court of Appeals in lieu of a certified copy of said record.

Done in open court this 6th day of April, 1926.

BOURQUIN,

United States District Judge.

Service accepted 4/6/26.

C. T. McKINNEY,

Asst. U. S. Atty.

[Endorsed]: Filed Apr. 6, 1926. [20]

[Title of Court and Cause.]

PRAECIPE OF APPELLANT FOR TRAN-
SCRIPT OF RECORD ON APPEAL.

To the Clerk of the Above-entitled Court:

You will please prepare and duly authenticate the

transcript and following portions of the record in the above-entitled case for appeal of the said appellant, heretofore allowed to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit:

1. Petition for writ of habeas corpus.
2. Order to show cause.
3. Return to order to show cause.
4. Decision of Honorable George M. Bourquin denying writ, filed February 19, 1926.
5. Order denying writ.
6. Petition for appeal.
7. Notice of appeal.
8. Order allowing appeal.
9. Assignment of errors.
10. Citation.
11. Stipulation.
12. Order for transmission of original record.
13. Affidavit of Moy Back Hin.
14. This praecepe.

HUGH C. TODD,
Attorney for Appellant.

[Endorsed]: Filed Apr. 6, 1926. [21]

[Title of Court and Cause.]

CERTIFICATE OF CLERK U. S. DISTRICT
COURT TO TRANSCRIPT OF RECORD.

United States of America,
Western District of Washington,—ss.

I, Ed. M. Lakin, Clerk of the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington,

do hereby certify this typewritten transcript of record, consisting of pages numbered from 1 to 21, inclusive, to be a full, true, correct and complete copy of so much of the record, papers and other proceedings in the above and foregoing entitled cause as is required by praecipe of counsel filed and shown herein, as the same remain of record and on file in the office of the Clerk of said District Court at Seattle, and that the same constitute the record on appeal herein from the judgment of said United States District Court for the Western District of Washington to the United States District Court of Washington to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

I further certify the following to be a full, true and correct statement of all expenses, costs, fees and charges incurred and paid in my office by or on behalf of the appellant for making record, certificate or return to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit in the above-entitled cause, to wit: [22]

Clerk's fees (Act of February 11, 1925) for making record, certificate, or return, 52 folios at 15¢	\$7.80
Certificate of Clerk to Transcript of Record, with seal50
Certificate of Clerk to original exhibits, with seal50
	<hr/>
Total.....	\$8.80

I hereby certify that the above cost for preparing and certifying record, amounting to \$8.80, has been paid to me by the attorney for appellant.

I further certify that I hereto attach and herewith transmit the original citation in this cause issued.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said District Court, at Seattle, in said District, this 15th day of April, 1926.

[Seal] ED. M. LAKIN,
Clerk United States District Court, Western District of Washington.

By S. M. H. Cook,
Deputy. [23]

[Title of Court and Cause.]

CITATION.

United States of America,—ss.

To the Honorable Luther Weedin, United States Commissioner of the Immigration at the Port of Seattle, Washington, GREETING:

WHEREAS, Wong Fook Jung has lately appealed to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, from the judgment, order and decree lately, on to wit, the 20th day of February, 1926, rendered in the District Court of the United States for the Western District of Washington, made in favor of you, adjudging and decreeing that the writ of habeas corpus as prayed for in the petition herein be denied.

You are therefore cited to appear before the United States Circuit Court of Appeals, in the City of San Francisco, State of California, within the time fixed by statute, to do and receive what may obtain to justice to be done in the premises.

Given under my hand in the City of Seattle, in the Ninth Circuit, this 6th day of April, in the year of our Lord nineteen hundred and twenty-six, and of the Independence of the United States the one hundred fiftieth.

[Seal]

BOURQUIN,
United States District Judge.

Service accepted 4/6/26.

C. T. McKINNEY,
Asst. U. S. Atty. [24]

[Endorsed]: Filed Apr. 6, 1926. [25]

[Endorsed]: No. 4840. United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. Wong Fook Jung, Appellant, vs. Luther Weedin, as Commissioner of Immigration at the Port of Seattle, Washington, Appellee. Transcript of Record. Upon Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington, Northern Division.

Filed April 17, 1926.

F. D. MONCKTON,
Clerk of the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

By Paul P. O'Brien,
Deputy Clerk.

